



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/040,929 | 12/31/2001 | Venkatachari Dilip | CSHE.P004 | 3798 |

53186 7590 01/25/2008
COURTNEY STANIFORD & GREGORY LLP
P.O. BOX 9686
SAN JOSE, CA 95157

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

GREIMEL, JOCELYN

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3693

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

01/25/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/040,929

Applicant(s)

DILIP ET AL.

Examiner

JOCELYN GREIMEL

Art Unit

3693

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOCELYN GREIMEL.

(3) _____.

(2) Barbara Courtney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jancula.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Atty. Courtney highlighted features of the proposed invention and discussed them in relation to the cited prior art. Additional, potential amendments to the claims were discussed. Atty. Courtney advised amendments would potentially be submitted. E. Greimel advised Atty. Courtney that further search and consideration would be needed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


JAMES A. KRAMER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

1/22/08

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required